



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/771,285

02/05/2004

Atsushi Teraji

NS-US035183

3237

22919 7590 08/19/2008
GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP
1233 20TH STREET, NW, SUITE 700
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680

EXAMINER

PATEL, SHAMBHAVI K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2128

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/19/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/771,285	Applicant(s) TERAJI ET AL.	
	Examiner SHAMBHAVI PATEL	Art Unit 2128	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 February 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 05 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/24/04, 06/13/06, 0727/06</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 have been presented for examination.

Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d).

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 24 March 2004, 13 June 2006 and 27 July 2006 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the Examiner has considered the IDS' as to the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. **Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite** for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding **claim 1**, the term "chemical reaction characteristic time" is indefinite. Regarding **claims 10 and 20**, it is unclear how only the one equation is used to represent all three variables (transport, generation and diffusion).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. **Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101** because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The Examiner asserts that the current state of the claim language is such that a reasonable interpretation of the claims would not result in any useful, concrete or tangible product. **Claims 1 and 11** are directed to modeling flame propagation. This claimed subject matter lacks a practical application of a judicial exception (law of nature, abstract idea, naturally occurring article/phenomenon) since it fails to produce a useful,

Art Unit: 2128

concrete and tangible result. Specifically, the claimed subject matter does not produce a tangible result because the claimed subject matter fails to produce a result that is limited to having real world value rather than a result that may be interpreted to be abstract in nature as, for example, a thought, a computation, or manipulated data. This produced result remains in the abstract and, thus, fails to achieve the required status of having real world value.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. **Claims 1-6, 9, 11-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)** as being clearly anticipated by **Poinsot (“Applications of Direct Numerical Simulation to Premixed Turbulent Combustion”)**.

Regarding claim 1:

Poinsot discloses a method of modeling flame propagation comprising:

- a. defining a flame surface area density of a flame as a flame surface area per unit volume of the flame (**“Notation”: Σ ; section 3.3**)
- b. expressing flame progress as generation of the flame surface area density in terms of at least one of a turbulent combustion and a laminar combustion (**section 2.2.1 “turbulent flow”**)
- c. determining flame growth resulting from turbulent combustion as being inversely proportional to a chemical reaction characteristic time (**equations 4 and 8: growth depends on the flame stretch, which also controls the rate of chemical reaction**) and as a function of a turbulent Reynolds number (**section 2.2.1**)
- d. modeling the flame propagation based on the flame growth (**abstract; equation 14**).

Regarding claim 2:

Poinsot discloses determining the flame growth resulting from laminar combustion as being proportional to both a laminar flame speed and to a ratio of temperature of a burned portion to a temperature of a burned portion to a temperature of an unburned portion (**section 7.1**) and as a function of the Karlowitz number (**section 3.3**).

Regarding claim 3:

Poinsot discloses the as recited in claim 1, wherein the generation of the flame surface area density is expressed as a combination of the turbulent combustion and the laminar combustion (**section 7.1**).

Regarding claim 4:

Poinsot discloses the flame propagation modeling method as recited in claim 1, wherein the flame growth resulting from the turbulent combustion is calculated based on the flame growth being inversely proportional to the chemical reaction characteristic time and proportional to both the turbulent Reynolds number raised to an exponential power and a stretch rate of the flame (**equations 4 and 8: growth depends on the flame stretch, which also controls the rate of chemical reaction**).

Regarding claim 5:

Poinsot discloses expressing flame generation as transport of the flame surface area density, which is expressed in terms of flame growth resulting from turbulent combustion and flame growth resulting from laminar combustion; and the flame growth resulting from laminar combustion being expressed as proportional to the laminar flame speed, to the ratio of the temperature of a burned portion to the temperature of an unburned portion, and to an exponential function of the Karlowitz number (**sections 2.2.1, 3.3, 7.1; equations 4 and 8**).

Regarding claim 6:

Poinsot discloses the flame propagation modeling method as recited in claim 5, wherein the exponential function of the Karlowitz number is the base of the natural logarithm raised to the power of the Karlowitz number (**“Notation” Karlovitz Number**).

Regarding claim 9:

Poinsot discloses the flame propagation modeling method as recited in claim 1, wherein the flame generation is further expressed as transport of the flame surface area density (**section 2.1**), which is expressed in terms of flame growth resulting from turbulent combustion and flame growth resulting from laminar combustion (**equations 4 and 8; section 7.1**); and the flame generation is suppressed by a resistance force imposed by air (**section 3.2**).

Regarding claim 11:

Ponsoit discloses a method of modeling flame propagation comprising:

- a. defining a flame surface area density of a flame as a flame surface area per unit volume of the flame (**“Notation”: Σ ; section 3.3**)
- b. expressing flame progress as generation of the flame surface area density in terms of at least one of a turbulent combustion and a laminar combustion (**section 2.2.1 “turbulent flow”**)
- c. determining flame growth resulting from laminar combustion (**section 7.1**) as being proportional to both a laminar flame speed and to a ratio of a temperature of a burned portion to a temperature of an unburned portion (**equations 1 and 2**) and as a function of the Karlowitz number (**“notation”**)
- d. modeling the flame propagation based on the flame growth (**abstract; equation 14**).

Regarding claim 12:

Poinsot discloses the as recited in claim 1, wherein the generation of the flame surface area density is expressed as a combination of the turbulent combustion and the laminar combustion (**section 7.1**).

Regarding claim 13:

Poinsot discloses the flame propagation modeling method as recited in claim 1, wherein the flame growth resulting from the turbulent combustion is calculated based on the flame growth being inversely proportional to the

chemical reaction characteristic time and proportional to both the turbulent Reynolds number raised to an exponential power and a stretch rate of the flame (**equations 4 and 8: growth depends on the flame stretch, which also controls the rate of chemical reaction**).

Regarding claim 14:

Poinsot discloses expressing flame generation as transport of the flame surface area density, which is expressed in terms of flame growth resulting from turbulent combustion and flame growth resulting from laminar combustion; and the flame growth resulting from laminar combustion being expressed as proportional to the laminar flame speed, to the ratio of the temperature of a burned portion to the temperature of an unburned portion, and to an exponential function of the Karlowitz number (**sections 2.2.1, 3.3, 7.1; equations 4 and 8**).

Regarding claim 15:

Poinsot discloses the flame propagation modeling method as recited in claim 5, wherein the exponential function of the Karlowitz number is the base of the natural logarithm raised to the power of the Karlowitz number (**“Notation” Karlovitz Number**).

Regarding claim 19:

Poinsot discloses the flame propagation modeling method as recited in claim 1, wherein the flame generation is further expressed as transport of the flame surface area density (**section 2.1**), which is expressed in terms of flame growth resulting from turbulent combustion and flame growth resulting from laminar combustion (**equations 4 and 8; section 7.1**); and the flame generation is suppressed by a resistance force imposed by air (**section 3.2**).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. **Claims 7, 8, 10, 16-18 and 20 would be allowable** if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph and 35 U.S.C. 101 set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Art Unit: 2128

Regarding claims 7 and 16:

The prior art of record does not disclose:

$$S_T = a_1 (Re_1)^{a_2} \Gamma \frac{\epsilon}{\kappa} \Sigma,$$

Regarding claims 8 and 17:

The prior art of record does not disclose

$$S_L = \beta_1 \exp(-\beta_2 Ka) \frac{T_b}{T_a} U_L \Sigma^2,$$

Regarding claims 10, 18 and 20:

The prior art of record does not disclose:

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_i \Sigma}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{v_i}{\sigma_i} \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial x_i} \right) + a_1 (Re_1)^{a_2} \Gamma \frac{\epsilon}{\kappa} \Sigma + \beta_1 \exp(-\beta_2 Ka) \frac{T_b}{T_a} U_L \Sigma^2 - D.$$

Conclusion

9. Examiner's Remarks: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in their entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner. In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shambhavi Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-5877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 am – 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached on (571) 272-2279. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Kamini S Shah/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2128

SKP